Quadrature: The Trapezoid rule MATH2070: Numerical Methods in Scientific Computing I

 $Location: \ http://people.sc.fsu.edu/\sim jburkardt/classes/math 2070_2019/quadrature_trapezoid.pdf$



Trapezoids are not just for approximate integration! (Pittsburgh's PNC Tower)

Trapezoidal quadrature

Given a continuous function f(x), and a domain $a \le x \le b$, estimate $I(f, a, b) \equiv \int_a^b f(x) dx$ using one or more trapezoids.

1 An estimate using one trapezoid

Suppose we want to estimate the integral I(f, a, b) of a function f(x) over the interval [a, b], using a limited number of sample values. The trapezoid rule suggests the following approximation T(f, a, b):

$$I(f, a, b) \approx T(f, a, b) = (b - a) * (f(a) + f(b))/2$$

It is not hard to see that this approximation is exact if the function f(x) happens to be a constant or linear function. Otherwise, we know from our polynomial approximation result that we can compare f(x) to the linear interpolant $p_2(x)$

$$f(x) - p_2(x) = \frac{f''(\xi)}{3!}(x-a)(x-b)$$
 for some $\xi \in [a,b]$

and this implies, after integration, that

$$I(f, a, b) - T(f, a, b) = \frac{f''(\xi)}{12}(b - a)^3$$

This suggests that, for a fixed function f(x), the quadrature error decreases cubically as (b-a) decreases.

2 Example: Does the error drop cubically with interval size?

To verify the error behavior, we compare the exact and estimated integrals of $\int_{x1}^{x2} exp(x) dx$ as we repeatedly halve the size of the interval. In this case, we expect that at each step, the error will decrease by a factor $r = \frac{1}{8} = 0.125$.

1	k	x1	x2	int	quad	error	rate
2							
3	0	-1.000000	1.000000	2.3504	3.08616	$7.3 \mathrm{e} - 01$	
4	1	-0.500000	0.500000	1.04219	1.12763	$8.0 \mathrm{e} - 02$	0.1161
5	2	-0.250000	0.250000	0.505225	0.515707	$1.0 \mathrm{e} - 02$	0.1227
6	3	-0.125000	0.125000	0.250652	0.251956	$1.3 \mathrm{e} - 03$	0.1244
$\overline{7}$	4	-0.062500	0.062500	0.125081	0.125244	$1.6 \mathrm{e} - 04$	0.1249
8	5	-0.031250	0.031250	0.0625102	0.0625305	$2.0 \mathrm{e} - 05$	0.1250
9	6	-0.015625	0.015625	0.0312513	0.0312538	$2.5 \mathrm{e} - 06$	0.1250
10	7	-0.007812	0.007812	0.0156252	0.0156255	$3.1 \mathrm{e} - 07$	0.1250
11	8	-0.003906	0.003906	0.00781252	0.00781256	$3.9 \mathrm{e}{-08}$	0.1250
12	9	-0.001953	0.001953	0.00390625	0.00390626	$4.9 \mathrm{e} - 09$	0.1250
13	10	-0.000977	0.000977	0.00195313	0.00195313	6.2e - 10	0.1250

Listing 1: Output from decrease_h.m

3 Estimate the error using two trapezoids

Let the notation T2(f, a, b) indicate that we are approximating the integral of f(x) over [a, b] using two trapezoids. Define $x_0 = a$, $x_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}$, $x_2 = b$, and write

$$T2(f, a, b) = T1(f, x_0, x_1) + T1(f, x_1, x_2)$$

= $\frac{(x_1 - x_0)}{2} * (f(x_0) + f(x_1)) + \frac{(x_2 - x_1)}{2} * (f(x_1) + f(x_2))$
= $(x_2 - x_0) * (\frac{1}{2}f(x_0) + f(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_2))/2$

We could estimate quadrature error as the difference

$$E(f, a, b, T1) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx - T1(f, a, b) \approx T2(f, a, b) - T1(f, a, b)$$

but, as explained in Professor Layton's notes, more accurate estimates are:

$$E1(f, a, b, T1) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx - T1(f, a, b) \approx e1 = \frac{4}{3} * (T2(f, a, b) - T1(f, a, b))$$
$$E2(f, a, b, T2) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx - T2(f, a, b) \approx e2 = \frac{1}{3} * (T2(f, a, b) - T1(f, a, b))$$

which reflects the expectation that using two trapezoids of half the width (T2) produces an estimate whose error is reduced by a factor of $\frac{1}{4}$ from the T1 estimate.

4 Example: Estimating the error

Consider again the problem of estimating the quadrature error when we are approximating $\int_a^b exp(x) dx$ using T1(f, a, b). Let E1, E2 represent the exact errors in T1(f,a,b) and T2(f,a,b), and let e1, e2 stand for the corresponding error estimates. For a variety of values of [a, b], we compute and compare the true and estimated errors:

a	b	E1	e1	E2	e2
0.00	0.20	-0.000738	-0.000737	-0.000184	-0.000184
0.10	0.40	-0.002896	-0.002894	-0.000725	-0.000724
0.20	0.60	-0.007988	-0.007983	-0.002001	-0.001996
0.30	0.80	-0.018168	-0.018149	-0.004556	-0.004537
0.40	1.00	-0.036575	-0.036520	-0.009185	-0.009130
0.50	1.20	-0.067698	-0.067560	-0.017027	-0.016890
0.60	1.40	-0.117846	-0.117535	-0.029695	-0.029384
0.70	1.60	-0.195774	-0.195120	-0.049434	-0.048780
0.80	1.80	-0.313488	-0.312198	-0.079339	-0.078050
0.90	2.00	-0.487310	-0.484891	-0.123641	-0.121223
	$\begin{array}{c} a \\ 0.00 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.20 \\ 0.30 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.60 \\ 0.70 \\ 0.80 \\ 0.90 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} a & b \\ \hline 0.00 & 0.20 \\ 0.10 & 0.40 \\ 0.20 & 0.60 \\ 0.30 & 0.80 \\ 0.40 & 1.00 \\ 0.50 & 1.20 \\ 0.60 & 1.40 \\ 0.70 & 1.60 \\ 0.80 & 1.80 \\ 0.90 & 2.00 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Listing 2: Output from t2_minus_t1.m

5 Using *n* trapezoids

It should be clear that the two trapezoid integral estimate is likely to be more accurate than when only one trapezoid is used, since the error estimate drops by a factor of 4. This suggests that we might be able to get further error reductions by repeatedly doubling the number of trapezoids. When a quadrature rule is used to estimate an integral by dividing it into subintervals and summing the integral estimates, this is known as a **composite rule**. For the trapezoid rule that uses n + 1 equally spaced points $x_0, x_1, ..., x_n$, (and hence n trapezoids), the rule Tn(f,a,b) can be written simply as:

$$I(f, a, b) \approx Tn(f, a, b) = (b - a) \cdot (0.5 * f(x_0) + f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_{n-1}) + 0.5 * f(x_n)) / n$$

The first factor represents the width of the interval. The second represents an estimated average value for f(x) over that interval. This means that the coefficients of the sample values of f(x) should add up to 1.

6 Exercise: A Composite trapezoid rule

Use a trapezoid rule T8 to estimate the integral of the hump() function over the interval [0,2]. Use the following pseudocode as a guide.

```
a = 0.0
1
\mathbf{2}
    b = 2.0
3
    n = 8
4
    x = n+1 equally spaced values between a and b
5
\mathbf{6}
    q = 0.5 * fhumpx(1))
7
    loop2 i = 2 to n
     q = q + hump (x(i))
8
    end loop2
9
10
    q = q + 0.5 * hump(x(n+1))
11
    q = (b - a) * q / n
12
13
    e = hump_int(a, b) - q
14
```

Listing 3: Pseudocode for T8 quadrature of hump().

7 Exercise: A Sequence of composite trapezoid rules

Use a sequence of trapezoid rules T1, T2, T4, ..., T1024 to estimate the integral of the hump() function over the interval [0, 2]. Use the following pseudocode as a guide.

```
a = 0
1
\mathbf{2}
    b = 2
3
    q = 0
    loop1 nlog = 0 to 10
4
5
      n = 2^n \log
\mathbf{6}
      qold = q
7
      x = n + 1 equally spaced values between a and b
8
      q = 0.5 * f(x(1))
9
      loop2 i = 2 to n
10
        q = q + f (x(i))
      end loop2
11
      q = q + 0.5 * f(x(n+1))
12
      q = (b - a) * q / n
13
      e = hump_int(a,b) - q
14
15
      print n, q, e
16
    end loop1
```

Listing 4: Pseudocode for sequence of trapezoid quadratures of hump().

Here's how your output should start:

1	n	Tn(hump, a, b)	Error
2			
3	1	0.3212981744421901	29
4	2	16.16064908722109	13.17
5	4	16.17515212981744	13.15
6			
7	1024	?	?

Listing 5: First three results for hump_trap.m.

An algorithm for adaptive integral estimation 8

By comparing T1(f,a,b) and T2(f,a,b), we can estimate the quadrature error that we make. Suppose that we wish to estimate the integral of f over an interval [a, b] with an error of no more than tol. We can do so adaptively, by breaking the interval up into a sequence of subintervals. But rather than being equal subintervals, we start at the left endpoint, and consider a "small" interval of width h, setting $x_0 = a, x_1 =$ $a+h/2, x_2 = x_0+h$. If the error estimate for $T2(f, x_0, x_2)$ is more than $\frac{tol*h}{b-a}$, we cut h in half and retry the step. If it is less than $\frac{tol*h}{b-a}$, we add this to our running estimate for the integral and prepare for the next step. If the error estimate is less than $\frac{8*tol*h}{b-a}$, we are actually justified in trying a stepsize of 2*h on the next step, otherwise we use h again.

A version of such an adaptive quadrature scheme was discussed in class.

Pseudocode for adaptive trapezoid quadrature 9

```
pseudocode for adaptive quadrature
 2
 3
    %
        Set a small initial h
 4
 \mathbf{5}
    h = (b - a) / 100.0
 \mathbf{6}
    n = 0
7
    q = 0.0
 8
9
    \mathrm{x0}~=~\mathrm{a}
10
```

1

4

```
Loop1 to estimate integral from x0 to x0 + h
11
12
13
    if b \le x0 exit with success
14
   %
      Estimate\ the\ integral\ and\ the\ error.
15
   %
       If the error is small enough, accept the estimate, and advance x0.
16
   %
      Otherwise, decrease h and try again.
17
   %
18
19
     Loop2 to reduce h if necessary
20
21
        if n_max <= n ) exit with error
22
   %
      Don't go past b!
23
24
       if (b < x0 + h)
25
26
         h = (b - x0)
         x1 = x0 + h / 2.0
27
28
         x2 = b
29
       else
30
         x1 = x0 + h / 2.0
31
         x^2 = x^0 + h
32
33
   %
       Compute integral and error estimates using 1 and 2 trapezoids.
34
       35
36
37
       e1 = abs ( 4.0 * (q2 - q1) / 3.0 )
                       (q2 - q1) / 3.0)
38
       e^2 = abs (
39
   %
      Decide if h can be increased, or is about right, or needs to be reduced.
40
41
        if (8.0 * e^2 \le tol * h / (b - a))
42
43
         h = h * 2.0
44
         q = q + q2
45
         x0 = x2
         n = n + 1
46
47
         break
        elseif ( e2 \ll tol * h / (b - a) )
48
49
         h = h
50
         q = q + q2
         x0 = x2
51
52
         n = n + 1
53
         break
54
        else
         h = h / 2.0
55
56
         if h too small then exit error
57
58
       end loop1
59
60
     end loop2
61
62
   end
```

10 Example: Adaptive quadrature of hump() over [0,2]

Consider the quadrature of the hump() function. The function has a very sharp variation in [0.2,0.4] and a mild variation in [0.6,1.1]. We can imagine that the trapezoid rule would have some trouble around these bending areas. When we run a simple version of the adaptive code, we get a good estimate for the integral, and we can see that the program took smaller steps in the problem areas.

1 hump_adapt:

```
\mathbf{2}
      Use adaptive trapezoid integration to estimate
3
      the integral of hump(x) from 0 to 2.
4
\mathbf{5}
   At x0 = 0.02, try smaller h = 0.01
   At x0 = 0.12, try smaller h = 0.005
6
7
   At x0 = 0.245, try bigger h = 0.01
   At x0 = 0.245, try smaller h = 0.005
8
9
   At x0 = 0.265, try smaller h = 0.0025
10
   At x0 = 0.3475, try bigger h = 0.005
11
   At x0 = 0.3575, try bigger h = 0.01
    At x0 = 0.3675, try smaller h = 0.005
12
   At x0 = 0.5425, try bigger h = 0.01
13
14
   At x0 = 0.7725, try bigger h = 0.02
   At x0 = 0.8325, try smaller h = 0.01
15
16
   At x0 = 0.9925, try bigger h = 0.02
17
    At x0 = 1.3925, try bigger h = 0.04
   At x0 = 1.6725, try bigger h = 0.08
18
   At x0 = 2, try bigger h = 0.015
19
20
21
      Number of subintervals = 185
22
      Integral estimate = 29.3281
23
      Exact integral =
                           29.3262
24
      Error =
                           0.00190283
25
      Error tolerance =
                           0.01
```

Listing 6: Output from hump_adapt.m

Although the adaptivity seemed to work reasonably well for hump(), the adaptivity would be much more necessary in cases where f(x) was highly oscillatory, so that the curve cannot be well approximated by straight line segments unless they are very small.

11 Assignment #7

Consider the function

$$f(x) = e^x \sin(x)$$

over the interval $[a, b] = [0, 2\pi]$.

Write a program hw7.m which

- 1. Uses trapezoid rules Tn(f, a, b) of order $n = 2^{nlog}$ for nlog = 0, 1, 2, ..., 10 to estimate the integral I(f, a, b);
- 2. Evaluates the error En(f, a, b) = I(f, a, b) Tn(f, a, b) (Work out the formula for I(f, a, b)!);
- 3. Prints n, Tn(f, a, b), En(f, a, b) for the 11 values of n;

Turn in: your file hw7.m by Friday, October 11.