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Please note this talk does not represent research work by me!

It is almost entirely based on information and examples from the references.

The purpose of this lecture is to introduce you to an interesting, useful, even fun, area of scientific computing that is not usually talked about in our group of number crunchers.
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Introduction - Computers Implement “Recipes”

When computers arrived on the scene, scientists had had centuries to investigate important problems, develop a mathematical model that simulated the phenomenon, and algorithms to extract desired information.

One of the first uses of computers was to compute such artillery tables during World War II.
The flight of a cannon ball can be modeled as a mathematical parabola, with three degrees of freedom. The location and angle of the cannon determine two degrees and the amount of gun powder the third. By experiment, we can create tables that tell us whether we can hit the steeple, and if so, the minimum amount of gunpowder to use, and how to aim the cannon.
Thus, people tried to solve problems by understanding the model well enough to be able to see how a solution could be produced, and then implementing that procedure as an algorithm.

* Fourier developed a model of signals as sums of sines and cosines, and now we can solve heat conduction problems, and electrical engineers create electronic circuits with any desired property.

* Linear programming was able to solve many scheduling problems for airlines and allocation problems for factories.

But what happens when we find a problem that needs to be solved, but for which we simply cannot see an efficient way to determine a solution?

*There are lots of problems like that!*
One simple problem involves a traveler who must visit plan a round trip that visits each city on a list once. The traveler is free to choose the order in which the cities are visited and wishes to find the route that minimizes the total mileage.

This problem is easy to state and understand, versions of it come up all the time in many situations, and yet there is no efficient algorithm for solving it!

The only sure way to find the best route is to check every possible list. For $n$ cities, that means $n!$ possible itineraries.

On the other hand, given two itineraries, it is certainly easy to check which one is better - just compare the mileages.
Introduction - The Traveler
Here’s another simple problem: suppose you want to make a copy of a famous photograph or painting, but you are only able to use colored rectangles. You have rectangles in every size and color. The rectangles are transparent, and if two overlap, the overlapping region will have the average of the two colors.

If that was the whole problem, we’d be done, because we make pixellated versions of images all the time.

*But for this problem, we are only allowed to use 32 rectangles!* 

Suddenly, your mind goes blank. There’s no obvious solution.

But given any two attempts to copy the picture, we can always determine which one is better, essentially by measuring the difference between the original and the copies.
Is it even possible to find an algorithm that can approximate the picture on the left using 32 colored rectangles? A random attempt is on the right.
Our problem is a kind of search, with two features:

- things or places to search;
- a way to evaluate each candidate.

Solutions might include:

- **brute force** - check every possibility;
- **Monte Carlo sampling** - sample many possibilities;
- **Hill climbing** - choose a candidate, then repeatedly search for small improvements;
- **Simulated annealing** - create a parameterized evaluation procedure; solve the easy version, then increase the difficulty a bit and solve that problem, and so on.
A drawback to sampling methods is that they have no memory; although they see many candidates, the sampling method doesn’t look for patterns or structure ... \( \text{when } x \text{ is near } 2.5, \text{ the value of } f(x) \text{ is pretty big} \ldots \)

Meanwhile, a hill-climbing procedure picks a starting point at random, and focuses on improving that point. But it’s easy to pick a starting point that’s far from the best solution, or one that quickly reaches a local optimum, which can’t be improved, but isn’t the best.

Simulated annealing can get around the local optimum problem, but still has the problem that it concentrates on improving a single point.
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Genetic algorithms are based on a metaphor from biology, involving the ideas of genetic code, heredity, and evolution.

The suggestion is that life didn’t know what it should look like, but kept trying new ideas. The crazy ones got squashed, and the better ones flourished.

We might assume that life starts out “knowing” nothing about the world; however, after a while, the collection of living objects that are thriving embodies a great deal of implicit knowledge about the world - these are the body shapes and behaviors that work on this planet.

Let us recall a little bit of biology before we turn everything into numbers!
The sequence of A, C, G, and T pairs in DNA constitutes the genetic code.
In our idealized model of biology, we notice that within a species, individuals exhibit a variety of traits.

We can explain these differences by looking at the genetic information carried by each individual. For a given species, we can think of the genetic information as being a list, of a fixed length, containing certain allowed “digits”, perhaps A, C, G, T.

Two individuals of the same species, with different genetic information, will have different properties and abilities, and these differences will influence their relative fitness to survive.

Individuals that are more fit are more likely to survive and to breed.

Breeding requires two individuals, and involves the somewhat random selection of genetic information from each.

Changes in genetic information can also occur because of spontaneous mutation.
In meiosis, pairs of chromosomes containing genetic information are shuffled and split into halves, in preparation for combining with a half from the other parent.
Genetic Algorithms - Meiosis

Suppose we could measure the fitness of an individual named $x$ for the given environment, producing a rating $f(x)$ which is higher for fitter individuals.

Then we could regard a population of individuals as an initially random sample of $x$ values. In a “struggle” to eat, hold territory, and mate, the weaker individuals would be likely to die, their genetic information discarded.

Those individuals with the highest $f(x)$ values are preferentially allowed to reproduce - but reproduction involves shuffling the genetic information of two fit individuals to produce a new and different individual.

Over time, one might hope that the population of $x$ values would evolve so that the values of $f(x)$ would increase, perhaps up to some limiting value.
A genetic algorithm is a kind of optimization procedure. From a given population $X$, it seeks the item $x \in X$ which has the greatest “fitness”, that is, the maximum value of $f(x)$.

A genetic algorithm searches for the best value by creating a small pool of random candidates, selecting the best candidates, and allowing them to “breed”, with minor variations, repeating this process over many generations.

These ideas are all inspired by the analogy with the evolution of living organisms.
A genetic algorithm typically include:

1. a genetic representation of candidates;
2. a way to create an initial population of candidates;
3. a function measuring the “fitness” of each candidate;
4. a generation step, in which some candidates “die”, some survive, others reproduce by breeding;
5. a mechanism that recombines genes from breeding pairs, and mutates others.
The part of the genetic algorithm that varies the most from problem to problem will be the representation of the candidates. Even for the traveling salesman problem, it's not too hard to see how to make this representation numeric - we can number the cities and then an itinerary simply lists those numbers in a particular order.

However, in order to make the breeding and mutation work, we will need to be able to convert the representation, whatever it is, back and forth to a binary representation, that is, a sequence of 0’s and 1’s.

This has to be done in such a way that if we change one bit of the binary information, we are still describing a candidate.
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To introduce the idea of implementing a genetic algorithm, we will take the example of optimizing a scalar function. There are already plenty of algorithms for doing this mathematically, so it’s not a problem that we would ordinarily want to solve with a genetic algorithm. But because the problem is simple and familiar, it is easier to see the unique features of a genetic algorithm.

Our problem, therefore, is to maximize the function

\[ f(x) = x \sin(10\pi x) + 1 \]

over the interval \([-1, +2]\). The maximum occurs near \(x = 1.8505\), where \(f(x) = 2.85\).
Seek $x$ for which $f(x)$ reaches its maximum in $[-1,+2]$.
Suppose we were hoping for six decimal digit accuracy in the value of $x$.

A brute force scheme would therefore have to check all $3,000,000$ values in $[-1, +2]$. 

For a Monte Carlo scheme, we notice that not very many $x$ values achieve a function value close to the maximum. So we would need something on the order of $3,000,000$ samples if we hoped to get a good $x$ estimate.

For a hill climbing scheme, our starting point would have to be somewhere on the one hump that contains the maximum, or we’ll never get there. It looks like there are about 15 such humps, each about the same width, so we might have to run the program 15 times (if we knew what we were dealing with!)
Our solution is a real number between -1 and +2. If we want 6 decimal digits of accuracy, we need to be able to represent 3*1,000,000 distinct real numbers. If we are going to use a binary representation, then 3,000,000 <= 2^{22} so we can do this with about 22 binary digits.

A 22 digit binary string $b$ can be converted to an integer $k$:

$$k = \sum_{i=1}^{22} b_i \cdot 2^{i-1}$$

The integer $k$ becomes a real number $u$ between 0 and 1 by:

$$u = k/(2^{22} - 1)$$

and $u$ becomes a real number $r$ between -1 and +2 by:

$$r = -1 + 3 \times u$$
Each candidate is a string of 22 binary digits, which we might think of as an integer vector.

If we want a population of \( n = 50 \) candidates, then one way to do this would be to create a 2 dimensional array of size \( 50 \times 22 \).

Now, to set up a random initial population, we simply need to randomly set the entries of this array to 0’s and 1’s.

One way to do this is to call the random number generator for each entry, and round each result.

\[
b(i,j) = \text{round} \left( \text{random} \right);\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( i )</th>
<th>( \underline{b} )</th>
<th>( \underline{x} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>10001011011010100111</td>
<td>0.637197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>0000001110000000010000</td>
<td>-0.958973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#50</td>
<td>11100000000111111000101</td>
<td>1.627888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For this problem, it’s easy to see that our fitness function is simply \( f(x) \). We’re looking for the candidate \( x \) in \([-1, 2]\) that makes this quantity the biggest. So we begin our iteration by measuring the fitness of each candidate:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{i} & \text{---b---} & \text{---x---} & \text{--f(x)--} \\
#1 & 1000101110110101000111 & = 0.637197 & \Rightarrow 1.586345 \\
#2 & 000000111000000010000 & = -0.958973 & \Rightarrow 0.078878 \\
\ldots & & & \\
#50 & 111000000011111000101 & = 1.627888 & \Rightarrow 2.250650
\end{array}
\]
Based on our fitness results, we make the following decisions for the next generation:

- Out of our 50 candidates, let the 10 with lowest fitness “die”;
- Let the 10 candidates with the highest fitness breed in pairs, creating 10 new candidates;
- Randomly select 2 of the nonbreeding, nondying candidates for mutation;

Our new population is the same size. It still contains the best candidates from the previous population, since it includes the 10 best, unchanged. The ten worst disappeared, replaced by offspring of the 10 best. For the intermediate 30, we kept 28 unchanged, and modified two by mutation.

Thus, the best fitness value in our population will never go down. Our goal, of course, is to make it go up, and quickly.
Death makes room for our new candidates.

Breeding is an intelligent attempt to make new improved candidates by mixing the “genes” of the best candidates.

Mutation is a shot in the dark, but it’s necessary in order to make it possible to discover new patterns that did not show up in the original population.
Death is easy - we just remove a candidate from the array.

Mutation is also easy. We pick a candidate $i$ to mutate. We know the candidate has 22 bits of genetic information. We pick an index $j$ between 1 and 22 and flip that bit:

$$b(i, j) = 1 - b(i, j)$$

For breeding, we have parents $i_1$ and $i_2$, creating children $i_3$ and $i_4$. We pick an index $j$ between 1 and 21 and splice the parental information together:

$$b(i_3, 1:j) = b(i_1, 1:j) \& b(i_3, j+1:22) = b(i_2, j+1:22)$$
$$b(i_4, 1:j) = b(i_2, 1:j) \& b(i_4, j+1:22) = b(i_1, j+1:22)$$
Recall that candidate #50 was:

\[ i \quad \text{-----------}b\text{-----------} \quad \text{----}x\text{----} \quad \text{--}f(x)\text{--} \]

#50 1110000000111111000101 = 1.627888 => 2.250650

If we mutated the fifth gene in this candidate, the result is

\[ 1110100000111111000101 = 1.721638 \Rightarrow -0.082257 \]

and if we mutated the 10th gene, we would get:

\[ 1110000000111111000101 = 2.343555 \Rightarrow 2.343555 \]

Thus, a mutation might decrease or improve the fitness value.
Let us breed candidates #2 and #50:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i} & \quad \text{-----------b----------} & \quad \text{----x---} & \quad \text{--f(x)--} \\
#2 & \quad 0000001110000000010000 & = -0.958973 & \Rightarrow & \quad 0.078878 \\
#50 & \quad 1110000000111111000101 & = 1.627888 & \Rightarrow & \quad 2.250650
\end{align*}
\]

If the crossover point is after \( j = 5 \), our two children will be

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{-----------b----------} & \quad \text{----x---} & \quad \text{--f(x)--} \\
0000000000111111000101 & = -0.998113 & \Rightarrow & \quad 0.940865 \\
11100011100000000010000 & = 1.666028 & \Rightarrow & \quad 2.459245
\end{align*}
\]

We see that one child has a significant increase in the fitness function.
The algorithm took 145 generations to reach a good value:

\[ \underbrace{1111001101000100000101}_b \approx 1.850773 \approx 2.850227 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gen</th>
<th>Best f(x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.441941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.250003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.250283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.250284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.250363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.328077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.344251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.345087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.738930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>2.849246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>2.850217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>2.850227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Italian painter Arcimboldo enjoyed the puzzle of trying to approximate a human face using vegetables.

This is not the sort of problem you expect to give to a computer!
Nick Berry works for DataGenetics, and in his spare time, posts many short, interesting articles about computing.

He read an article about genetic programming, but didn’t find the numerical example very interesting; after thinking for a while, he came up with a challenge that he wasn’t sure genetic programming could handle, and so he put some time into the investigation.

*Could he teach his computer how to paint a picture of him?* Instead of using fruit, he would add together 32 rectangles of color.

This problem is much more realistic and useful than trying to optimize a function $f(x)$. We’ll have to think about how to make it fit into the genetic algorithm framework, and we already know that there’s no way that we can get a solution which is a perfect match for the original picture.
To make a genetic algorithm for this problem, we want to start by setting up the genetic information.

We assume the image is a `jpg` file containing $256 \times 256$ pixels. A candidate solution would be 32 colored rectangles. Each rectangle has a position and a color. Using the `jpg` format, the lower left corner is a pair $(x_l, y_l)$ between 0 and 255, and $(x_r, y_r)$ is similar. The color of the rectangle is defined by three integers, $r$, $g$, and $b$, also between 0 and 255. Thus, our numeric representation of a candidate solution is 32 sets of $(x_l, y_l, x_r, y_r, r, g, b)$, for a total of 224 integers.

A number between 0 and 255 requires 8 bits to specify, so our genetic information describing one candidate would require $224 \times 8 = 1792$ bits.
We have 10 candidates or “chromosomes”. Each chromosome describes the color and position of 32 rectangles. The description of a single rectangle is termed a “gene”.
Supposing we have specified a candidate solution $x$; then we must be prepared to evaluate its fitness $f(x)$.

To evaluate our candidate, we can simply create a $256 \times 256$ jpg file from the rectangles, and sum the $(r, g, b)$ color differences pixel by pixel:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{255} \sum_{j=0}^{255} |r_1(i, j) - r_2(i, j)| + |g_1(i, j) - g_2(i, j)| + |b_1(i, j) - b_2(i, j)|$$

where $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the reds for original and candidate, and so on.

Note that a perfect solution would have $f(x) = 0$, and that low values of $f(x)$ are better than high ones. We remember that for this problem, we are minimizing $f(x)$ instead of maximizing.
The rest of the procedure is pretty straightforward. We have to generate an initial random population of candidates, say 10 of them.

Our generation step will kill the 2 worst candidates, and replace them by the two children produced by breeding the two best candidates. Then we will also pick one candidate at random and hit it with a random mutation.

A typical run of the program might involve thousands or hundreds of thousands of generations. To monitor the progress of the program, we can look at how the fitness function evaluations are changing, or we can examine successive approximations to our picture.
read the original image "RGB_A";
generate 10 random candidates "B1", "B2", ..., "B10".

for 10,000 steps:

    convert each B to an image RGB_B;
    compare RGB_B to RGB_A to compute score;
    sort candidates, lowest to highest score.

    delete candidates B9 and B10;
    cross two remaining candidates, replacing B9 and B10;
    mutate one candidate of B2 through B8.

end
The graph of the fitness function values suggests that our rate of improvement is leveling off, and that it might be time to take a look at what we’ve computed!
These were made with Nick Berry’s program.
These were done with my own MATLAB program.
 Berry’s approximation, the original, the MATLAB approximation

If you squint, or blur your vision, or stand back a distance, the approximations may start to look peculiarly good!
Since we given a ridiculously small number of rectangles to work with, we can’t be disappointed if the results are only very general. The point is, there are results, they are recognizable, and getting better with iterations, and we would have had no idea of how to solve this problem otherwise.
If you compare Nick Berry’s results to mine, they seem to be by different “artists”. In particular, it’s easier to see the rectangle borders in Berry’s pictures.

This is because, I believe, Berry and I differ in how we combined the colors when two (or more) rectangles overlapped. He takes the maximum, and I take the average. Thus, rectangles with RGB colors (50,100,150) and (250,0,100) would combine to

- (250, 100, 150) in Berry’s method;
- (150, 50, 125) in my method.

so Berry’s pictures are brighter and the boundaries between rectangles are sharper.
Another difference is that Berry used the **Gray code**, for which successive integers differ in just one digit, rather than the standard binary code.

For instance, the binary codes for 127 and 128 are 01111111 and 10000000, respectively. If the exact answer to our problem is 128, and we are very close, at 127, then in order for the genetic algorithm to move a distance of 1 in the “physical space”, it has to change all 8 digits in the “gene space”.

**Figure:** Distance maps for binary and Gray codes for 0 through 15.
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Just because a genetic algorithms can be applied to a problem, doesn’t mean it’s the best way to solve it!

I’ve got... Cheerios with a shot of vermouth. At least it’s better than the quail eggs in whipped cream and MSG from last time. Are these Skittles deep-fried? C’mon, guys, be patient. In a few hundred more Meals, the Genetic Algorithm should catch up to existing Recipes and start to optimize.

We’ve decided to drop the CS Department from our weekly Dinner Party hosting rotation.
## Conclusion - Applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antennas</th>
<th>Turbine engines</th>
<th>Pharmaceuticals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein Folding</td>
<td>Factory scheduling</td>
<td>Stock trading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity Pricing</td>
<td>VLSI partitioning</td>
<td>Circuit design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical classification</td>
<td>Real estate appraisal</td>
<td>Healthcare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure:** Left: Human-designed antenna, Right: Genetic Algorithm
Although it’s clear that a programmer has to be involved in setting up a genetic algorithm, it’s certainly true that the genetic algorithm is designed to solve problems for which no efficient algorithm is provided.

Although the program seems to blindly stumble along, it collects, in the genetic data, important information about the fitness function, a sort of model of how to maximize it.

Genetic algorithms are an example of an exploding field in computational science, known as machine learning.

Instead of trying to come up with instructions for solving a problem, we can tell a computer what a solution looks like, let it look at lots of examples, and come up with its own solution methods. (*This is how spam filters work these days.*)
There are big books of algorithms for solving all kinds of problems, but what do you do when you get to the end of the book and still haven’t seen your problem?

Many exasperating and important problems in science and industry don’t have a recipe for their solution.

We used to think that if you can’t understand how to solve a problem, then you can’t solve the problem - but with genetic algorithms, we can see that’s not always true.

One of the hardest problems we can imagine is how a life form can survive, outlive its rivals, and reproduce . . . and yet life has been stumbling on better and better solutions for a billion years.